

000025985



INTEROFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

DATE: August 30, 1994

TO: A. M. Winzent-Dichard, Procurement, Bldg. 080, X8608

FROM: B. A. Montano, ^{BAM}Sample Management, Bldg. 080, X8596

SUBJECT: TECHNICAL EVALUATION OF PRICE CHANGE PROPOSAL FOR ACCU-LABS
SUBCONTRACT ASC 220322AD3 - BAM-095-94

Please add the new pricing information to the Accu-Labs Research contract ASC 220322AD3. Accu-Labs's proposal has been reviewed and approved by the EG&G Rocky Flats, Inc. Sample Management Department. The technical evaluation is stated below.

1. Regarding the Statement of Work (SOW) for routine chemistry, General Radiochemistry and Routine Analytical Services Protocol (GRRASP)-Part A, version 3.0:
 - A. The offeror did not make any assumptions.
 - B. It did not propose any deviations from the method required in the SOW.
 - C. The detection limits for all compounds are acceptable.
 - D. The proposal is technically sound and did not omit or make any incorrect assumptions.
2. Regarding the Pricing:
 - A. The prices of the water quality analyses are reasonable.
 - B. There are a few new analyses in Accu-Labs proposal which are not in the current contract. These analyses are all water quality analyses, which accu-labs has been audited for, and are approved to analyze.
 - C. Based on the unit prices proposed, the schedule does not need to be corrected to define analysis.
 - D. The prices are reasonable as compared to other existing contract labs that perform water quality type analyses, e.g., R. F. Weston, Lockheed and Quanterra.
 - E. The proposed analyses are similar if not identical to the currently contracted analyses and therefore the existing analysis cost can be used to support the rationale of price reasonableness.

If you have any questions, please call me at extension 8596.

cc:
K. Bentzen
C. E. Daily
J. R. Dick
ERPD Project File (2)

ADMIN RECCRD

SW-A-003541